arXiv:astro-ph/0010005v1 30 Sep 2000

Period changes in ω Centauri RR Lyrae stars

J. Jurcsik[1], C. Clement[2], E. H. Geyer[3] and I. Domsa[1]

ABSTRACT

A century of observations of ω Centauri allows us to accurately follow the period changes of a large sample of variable stars. Although the period changes are composed of monotone and irregular changes, period increases dominate in most of the RRab stars. The typical period increase rates are of the same order of magnitude as model calculations predict for the evolved, redward phase of horizontal branch evolution. For the 44 well observed RRab stars which show monotonic period changes, a mean rate of 0.15 d/Myr has been found. The period changes of the first overtone RRc type stars show a much more complex, irregular behavior.

Subject headings: globular clusters: individual (ω Centauri) – stars: horizontal-branch – stars: oscillations – stars: Population II – RR Lyrae variable – stars: evolution

1. Introduction

One of the principal goals of globular cluster photometric studies carried out during the past century was to determine period changes of RR Lyrae stars. The hope to reveal evolutionary changes motivated many extensive works. (For details see e.g. Smith (1995) and Rathbun and Smith (1997).) However, attempts to connect the observed period changes directly with the different phases of horizontal branch (HB) evolution have not led to indisputable conclusions. Neither the large period decreases nor the random and/or abrupt period changes that have been detected in many clusters can be explained on the basis of steady evolutionary effects.

The basic tool in the determination of period changes of variable stars is the $O-C$ diagram. This plots the difference between the observed times (O) of a particular phase (e.g. maximum) of the light-curve and the predicted times of the same phase (C) calculated according to an accepted

[1]Konkoly Observatory of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1525, Budapest, PO Box 67, Hungary
jurcsik@konkoly.hu; domsa@konkoly.hu

[2]Department of Astronomy, University of Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3H8, Canada
cclement@utoronto.ca

[3]Hoher List Observatory, University Bonn, D-54550 Daun, Germany

ephemeris. A nonlinear $O-C$ indicates period changes while a linear $O-C$ with a non-zero slope points to inaccuracy of the adopted period.

Sterne (1934) showed that period-noise can have a cumulative effect in the $O-C$ of variable stars, thus mimicking true period changes. However, in case of RR Lyrae stars Balázs-Detre and Detre (1965) concluded that their $O-C$ diagrams cannot be wholly explained as the result of period-noise. Nevertheless, Lee (1991) showed that the mean period change rates observed in globular clusters were consistent with synthetic HB models if the HB types of the clusters were also considered. However, accurate determinations of period changes are available for only a small sample of clusters and for many of these, the derived period change rates vary from one study to another (see e. g. the 0.07 d/Myr difference in the mean period change rate for NGC 7006 given by Wehlau et al. (1992) and Wehlau et al. (1999)). Thus it is still an important task to define accurate period change rates in globular clusters by using not only the most recent data, but also any earlier observations, even if they can only be reconstructed from published light curves or epochs of maximum light. Searching for unpublished cluster observations and making them accessible for future studies is very worthwhile.

The preference for period increases in ω Centauri was first noticed by Martin (1938). Belserene (1964) strengthened this result and raised the possibility of an evolutionary explanation. The recent CCD observations of the variables (Kaluzny et al. 1997) within the framework of the OGLE (Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment) project have lengthened the time-base of the observations to more than one hundred years, thus making it possible to extend the earlier period change studies.

2. Data

We used all known and available photometric information on ω Centauri variables including the original photographic data of Bailey (1902); Martin (1938); Dickens and Saunders (1965); Dickens and Carey (1967) and the recent CCD V observations of Kaluzny et al. (1997). In addition, the scarce photoelectric V observations made by Sturch (1976, 1978) and Eggen (1961) of V92 proved to be useful in some cases for defining an $O-C$ value at an epoch when no other observations were obtained.

Belserene (1964) published $O-C$ values for 47 RRab stars based partly on observations obtained with the Yale-Columbia 26-inch refractor in 1947, 1948, 1956, 1958, 1961, 1962 and 1963. The individual magnitudes were not included in her paper. However, we have obtained most of the unpublished data for these 47 RRab stars and for a further 41 variables, mainly RRc stars. In addition, magnitudes derived from observations made with the same telescope in 1965 and 1967 have been obtained. In Table 1, a sample of the magnitudes for the first 11 stars are listed; the rest are available in the electronic edition. Observations of some variables not included in the present study are also given in Table 1 (SX Phe: V65, red variable: V164, and variables with not enough data to investigate period changes: V167 and V169). We used $O-C$ values that Belserene (1964)

derived from the $1922-6$ observations (Wright 1940) and for the Yale-Columbia 1961 and 1962 observing seasons because these original data could not be located.

Wehlau and Bohlender (1982) examined the period changes of BL Her type stars in globular clusters. To give the most complete sample of the period changes, we have also included the ω Centauri stars they studied in our present work, even though new data have not been obtained for all of the variables.

About 400 photographic B plates were taken by C. Clement with the University of Toronto's 61 cm (f/15) Helen Sawyer Hogg telescope at the Las Campanas Observatory of the Carnegie Institution of Washington from 1972 to 1986. The plates were measured on a Cuffey iris astrophotometer. As these observations span more than a decade, they have crucial importance for following the period changes in the second half of the century. In Table 2, part of these data for the first 14 variables are given. The entire data set is presented in the electronic edition.

Photographic B and V observations obtained by E. H. Geyer with the 80/90/300cm ADH Baker Schmidt camera of the Boyden Observatory in the 1962 observational season (Geyer and Szeidl 1970) were also used. The photometric data of these observations have not been published, but recovery of the original measurements is possible for 18 variables out of which 16 are used in this work. The other two stars are V65 (SX Phe), and V167. Table 3 lists these photographic B data. For most of the observed stars only folded light-curves are available. These folded curves could be used to determine phase relation only if the assumed period and initial epoch were known without doubt.

In Table 4 the different observations of the ω Centauri variables are summarized. The new variables discovered by Kaluzny et al. (1997) are not included in Table 4 because there is no previous record for determining the period variation. RR Lyraes with not enough data to construct any reliable segment of their $O-C$ are also omitted (V80,110,114,135,136,137,141,143,145,146,147,153,154, 156,157,158,158,169 and all the variables after V170).

3. Phase shift diagrams, period changes and folded light-curves

We used the original photometric data to determine phase shifts whenever they were available. (These sources are denoted by X in Table 4.) The CCD V (Kaluzny et al. 1997) observations were used to define the normal curves and the phase shifts for the other epochs were measured relative to these curves. Both vertical and horizontal shifts were derived for all the other photometric data in order to match the normal curve best. The most deviant magnitudes were omitted from each dataset. We applied a single vertical (zero-point) shift to the different observations, with the exception of the OGLE measurements. When the same star appeared in different OGLE fields, these data were treated separately and differences in their zero points were also determined.

For determining $O-C$ values (horizontal shifts) the datasets were divided into shorter segments

($1-3$ years long). (For simplicity, we denote $O-C$ as the phase shift between the light-curves as a whole instead of the traditional definition of reading phase shifts only between special parts of the light-curves.) If there were no OGLE observations of the star, the phase shifts were measured relative to Martin's (1938) photographic m_{pg} curves.

As phase shifts between photographic B,V, photoelectric and CCD V observations were measured, it was also important to check the effect of data inhomogenity on the results. By determining the optimal horizontal and vertical shifts between the B and V light-curves of the recent CCD B and V observations of the M2 variables (Lee and Carney 1999), a mean phase shift of 0.0021 d (with 0.0039 rms) between the V and B light-curves of the 19 RRab stars was found. The shift for the 12 RRc stars is 0.0001 d (0.0021 rms). Consequently, although the times of maxima systematically deviate in B and V, when the phase shifts of the whole light-curves are considered then no significant difference according to the colors occur. The $O-C$ ambiguity arising from data inhomogeneity is therefore less than $1-2\%$ of the period.

$O-C$ values were derived from the data compiled in Table 4 at all of the epochs for which it was possible to obtain a reliable value. Observations of Bailey (1902) and Martin (1938) were divided into two parts, while the Las Campanas observations allowed for the determination of six independent $O-C$ points in most cases. Observations from the different OGLE fields were used independently. If the observations of one of the OGLE fields were extensive enough, $O-C$ -s were determined for two of its segments. The $O-C$ values given by Belserene (1964) for $1922-6$, 1961 and 1962 (JD 2423530, 2437454 and 2437727, respectively) were appropriately transformed if the $O-C$ curve was constructed with a period different from the one she used. Similar transformations were applied for the ADH Schmidt data in cases where only the folded light-curves were available.

We constructed the $O-C$ curve by using an estimated value of the average period over the century (P_a) in order to obtain a symmetrical form and fitted the $O-C$ points by different order polynomials. The $O-C$ can be expressed as

$$O-C=\int P(E)dE-EP_a=\frac{1}{P_a}\int P(t)dt-t \tag{1}$$

where E is the epoch number, and P_a is the period used to determine $O-C$.

If we approximate the $O-C$ with a polynomial in the form of

$$O-C=\sum_{i=1}^{k}c_i t^{i-1}, \tag{2}$$

then the temporal period can be determined using the c_i coefficients:

$$P(t)=P_a\sum_{i=2}^{k}(i-1)c_i t^{i-2}+P_a. \tag{3}$$

If it is possible to determine the period with sufficient accuracy at the date of the observations, we also have a direct measure of the period changes for comparing with the above fit.

Furthermore, if we transform the time according to

$$t' = t - \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i t^{i-1}, \tag{4}$$

then all the data can be folded with a single period because, from Eq. 2, it follows that the $O-C$ term vanishes.

Thus we have two methods for checking the validity of both the $O-C$ values and their trial polynomial fits, namely (a) a comparison of the direct period determinations with the temporal periods predicted according to the derivative of the $O-C$ and (b) the scatter of the folded curve of the time transformed data. This is essential when an ambiguity in the cycle number counts occurs.

Figs. 1a-l, 2a-h and 3 show the results for RRab, RRc and RR Lyrae-like stars (which were formerly called BL Her type variables), respectively. In the left panels which show the $O-C$ data and their polynomial fits, the filled circles denote $O-C$ points derived from the phase shifts of the original data while open circles are for Belserenes's (1964) $O-C$ values for $1922-6$, 1961 and 1962 and for phase shifts which were read from Geyer's folded light-curves. If the above checks could not help in deciding cycle numbers, and/or there remained significant uncertainties in the $O-C$ curve or in its polynomial fit, the fit is shown by a dashed line. The $O-C$ were divided into two overlapping parts which were fitted separately with different order polynomials in the cases of some RRc stars showing very complex $O-C$ (V10, 64, 76 ,95, 126). This procedure avoids strong discontinuities in the $O-C$ and period fits. However, the possibility that the period changes are in reality abrupt cannot be excluded.

In the middle panels, period changes calculated from the $O-C$ fit according to Eq. 3 (dashed lines) are shown and compared with the results of direct period determinations. Whenever the observations span over two or more consecutive seasons, then direct period determinations with sufficient accuracy may also become possible. In some cases periods were determined from single observing seasons, but usually the accuracy of these period determinations was not good enough to make any use of them. When no ambiguity in the $O-C$ values has arisen, i.e. P_a seemed to be correct, this period was taken as an initial trial period for each data set. Periods in the vicinity of P_a were checked and the one that gave the light-curve with the smallest rms scatter in a least squares solution was adopted. In those cases when P_a might be questioned, i.e. cycle number ambiguity has arisen indicating that aliasing might seriously affect the period determinations, a period search using the MUFRAN package (Kolláth 1990) was performed. If there was more than one possible peak in the amplitude spectra of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), the peak which was closest to the period determined from the other observations was chosen if it was consistent with the $O-C$ fit as well.

The error range of the periods was determined by checking the residuals (rms) of the folded curves using periods close to the one accepted according to the procedure described above. The upper and lower limits were set to the periods for which the scatter of the folded curves increased by 10%. For the best light-curves (some of Martin's and Kaluzny's observations if more than 200

data-points were available, and the quality of the light-curve was high enough to fit a higher order (> 7) Fourier sum), only 5% was allowed. These percentages can be justified as the 90% confidence region of the period assuming normal errors, correspond e.g. to 5%, 8% and 10% increases in the rms scatter of the fit in cases of N=250, M=15; N=120, M=10, and N=60, M=5 (N:number of data, M: order of the Fourier fit), respectively (see details in Press et al. (1992)).

Checking whether the periods determined from Eq. 3 matched the actually observed periods within their error ranges could help in many cases to decide the proper cycle numbers.

The folded curves of all the photometric data derived from the time-transformation of Eq. 4 are shown in the right panels of Figs. 1-3. As a result of this transformation all the data could be brought into phase with the normal curve. In those cases where the cycle number or the shape of the $O-C$ fit was dubious, the solution that was accepted was the one that resulted in smaller scatter on the folded curve of the time-transformed data. We recall that these folded curves were matched to CCD V or to photographic m_{pg} normal curves depending on whether the star was measured by the OGLE project or not.

The scatter of the time transformed data and the agreement between the observed periods and the derivative of the $O-C$ fit served as checks on the correctness of the $O-C$ and its polynomial fit. Different order polynomials with different weightings, interpolations and extrapolations were tested in order to get the most reliable solution. The aim was to determine a more precise $O-C$ curve which also enabled us to estimate the contribution of random changes to the global period changing term.

In Table 5 the $O-C$ values, the order of the $O-C$ fit and the actual periods measured and their possible ranges at different epochs are given for V3. The results for all of the other variables studied are available in the electronic edition which presents Table 5 in its complete form.

The period change rates defined as $\beta =< \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} >$ are determined by using the polynomial fits to the $O-C$ curves. Temporal periods at $\Delta t = 1000$ d intervals are calculated according to Eq. 3 and the mean of the resultant $\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}$ values is taken as β.

The period change rates defined as $\beta =< \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} >$ are calculated by using the polynomial fits to the $O-C$ curves. From the temporal periods obtained according to Eq. 3, $\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}$ ($\Delta t = 1000$ d) values are determined and their mean is taken as β. Measuring β in this way gives practically the same result as calculating $2c_3P_a$ from a quadratic $O-C$ fit where c_3 is the coefficient of the second order term or from measuring the period difference between the first and last observed epochs.

It is difficult to determine whether a period change is actually random or monotone. However, the rms scatter of the calculated $\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}$ values during the century ($\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}$) may give some indication. If the scatter is large, the changes are probably random. In the discussion that follows, we assume that no significantly monotonic period change occurs if

$$| < \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} > | \leq 2\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}} \;\; i.e. \;\; \sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/|\beta| \geq 0.5. \tag{5}$$

β values (in 10^{-10} days/day and in days/Myr units), the normalized period variations $\alpha = \beta/P_a$ in 10^{-10}/day, $\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}$, and the $\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/|\beta|$ ratio for all the variables studied are given in Table 6. A comparison of these values with Figs. 1-3 demonstrates that our choice of selecting monotone period changes according to the Eq. 5 criterion gives reasonable results.

An examination of the light-curves of all the variables in the course of the above procedure revealed that Blazhko behavior (amplitude and/or phase modulation) is manifested in many cases. Stars showing definite modulations are marked in Figs. 1-3 and are encoded in column 3 of Table 6. Column 4 of Table 6 indicates ambiguous $O - C$ fits, while column 5 refers to the metallicity according to Jurcsik (1998b, see also Table 7).

3.1. RRab stars

The present investigation confirms Belserene's (1964) result that most of the ω Centauri RRab stars have steadily increasing periods. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 where we show a histogram of the β distribution for the stars that have a well defined $O-C$ fit with monotonic changes exceeding the period noise. Of the 71 RRab stars listed in Table 4 and Table 5, 27 were excluded from the plot. Four (V9,11,56,59) have ambiguous $O - C$ curves (all of them exhibit Blazhko behavior) while for eight others (V91,106,132,134,139,144,149,150), there are not enough data to draw any firm conclusion about their long term period changes. In addition, those stars that did not show significantly monotonic changes in their periods according to the criterion of Eq. 5 are also omitted. V56 and V84 were also excluded because their radial velocities indicate that they are non-members (Liller and Tokarz 1981; van Leeuwen et al. 2000).

Altogether, there remain 45 RRab stars for which monotonic period change rates seem to have been followed. Nine have decreasing periods; one of them (V104) has a steady period decrease at a very high rate (5.4×10^{-8} days/day). The period of this star, however, is unusually long for an ordinary RRab star (0.87 d). Thus its inclusion in the sample may be questioned. The other eight stars have periods decreasing at 10^{-10} and -10^{-9} days/day magnitude rates. Period increases at similar rates have been detected in 30 of the 45 stars. For the other six, no change or very slight positive period changes were detected ($0.0 \leq \beta \leq 10^{-10}$ days/day). The mean β of the 44 stars (omitting V104) is 4.1×10^{-10} days/day (0.15 days/Myr), the median is 3.8 or 0.14 in the respective units.

It was shown by Jurcsik (1998b), that in contrast to the large chemical inhomogeneity of the ω Centauri stars, the majority of the RRab stars have surprisingly homogeneous metallicity. Using the empirical formula that relates [Fe/H] to Fourier coefficients (Jurcsik and Kovács 1996), metallicities of 48 RRab stars observed by Kaluzny et al. (1997) were derived. As no data were given in Jurcsik (1998b) we list the physical parameters of RRab stars calculated from the light-curves (Jurcsik 1998a; Kovács and Jurcsik 1997, 1996; Jurcsik and Kovács 1996) in Table 7 (see also Sect. 4). In this sample, 40 stars have similar metallicities; their [Fe/H]$= -1.54 \pm 0.08$ dex. Of

these 40, reliable $O-C$ could be determined for 39 stars. Considering the period changes of only these variables, the tendency for the periods to increase is also clear. Positive β values have been detected in 24 stars, only 4 have negative ones, 1 does not show any period change and irregular period changes are observed in 10 cases. There was one star (V99) found to be more metal poor; its steady period increase rate is $\beta = 46.0 \times 10^{-10}$ days/day. Of the 7 relatively metal rich stars excluding the non-member V84 and the peculiar V104, 3 have shown steady period increases while only one is with decreasing period and the period change of one star is not monotonic.

Regarding the Blazhko type behavior, we have found that 14 out of the 71 RRab stars clearly exhibit some type of modulation in their light-curves. Excluding the non-member V56, there is no sign of monotonic period change in five of the stars, while out of the eight variables showing monotonic period variation, a decreasing period has been observed for only one (V120). Although the periods of the ω Centauri RRab stars span a very wide range ($0.47 - 0.89$ d), all the Blazhko variables have periods less than 0.7 d.

In Fig. 5 the distribution of the normalized period changes (α) is plotted as a function of the period. Stars without a definite sign for their period changes are arbitrarily placed at $\alpha = -70$. These stars are also found only among the shorter period variables, most of them have periods within a very narrow ($0.59 - 0.67$ d) period range. This unusual coupling of the periods of the Blazhko variables with the periods of stars showing random period variations might probably indicate some kind of physical relation between these phenomena.

In conclusion, we have found that, although random variations dominate the period changes of 14 stars, period increases dominate in most of the remaining stars. Among the RRab variables exhibiting steady period changes, only 9 have decreasing periods while 34 have increased their periods during the past century.

3.2. RRc stars

We have constructed $O-C$ plots for 48 RRc type variables, but out of which 26 must be taken with caution because of cycle number ambiguity or not enough time coverage. The RRc sample shown in Figs. 2a-h clearly indicates that the period changes of RRc stars are more complex than those of the fundamental mode (RRab) stars. Out of the RRc stars with well defined $O-C$ curves, period increases occur in 10, period decreases in 4, random period changes in 7 cases, and 1 star does not show any period change. The equivalent numbers for the 26 stars with less certain $O-C$ -s are 4, 3, 17, and 1, respectively, if V168 is not included because it is not a radial velocity member.

A thorough examination of the light-curves of the RRc stars revealed the existence of some kind of modulation in at least 8 out of the 48 first overtone variables. This is a similar percentage (17%) to the occurrence of Blazhko behavior in the case of RRab stars (20%).

We call attention to a special group of variables V47, V68, and V123 (showing also light-

curve variation) which we included in the RRc group because of their amplitudes and light-curves shapes, although it was shown in Clement and Rowe (2000) that their φ_{21} Fourier parameters are anomalously large. Geyer and Szeidl (1970) also noticed that some of the RRc stars define a bluer ridge in the B−V.*vs*.log$P$ plane, and among others V47 and V123 were found to belong to this group.

The periods of these three stars are unusually long ($0.47-0.53$ d), which would rather indicate fundamental mode oscillation and their mean magnitudes are among the brightest of the RRc sample. V47 and V68 are 0.1 and 0.2 mag brighter than any of the others and the mean magnitude of V123 corresponds to that of the brightest among the other variables. Though the period changes of these stars are irregular according their $\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/|\beta|$ parameter, in V68 and V123 significant period increases (1.9 and 5.5 d/Myr, respectively) have occurred during the past century. Thus we may be seeing an indication of their more evolved status, although this is not as marked as in the case of the RR Lyrae-like stars discussed in the next section (3.3). These latter stars are typically more than 0.5 mag brighter than the RR Lyrae stars. There is, however a discernible similarity between the light-curves of these stars and that of the faintest evolved star (V92) even though it has a much longer (1.34 d) period. At present we can safely conclude that further studies, especially accurate color determinations are needed in order to clarify the true nature of these peculiar objects.

Omitting these 3 variables and stars with too short $O-C$ segments, a similar plot of the α distribution of the RRc variables as seen in Fig. 5 for the RRab stars is shown in Fig. 6. Again, stars with irregular period changes tend to be found among the longer period RRc stars where the modulated (Blazhko) variables are.

3.3. RR Lyrae-like stars

Wehlau and Bohlender (1982) made a thorough analysis of period changes of BL Her stars in globular clusters. They classified 5 of the ω Centauri variables as BL Her type and found period increase rates in the range of $10^{-9}-10^{-8}$ days/day for all of them.

The name BL Her is no longer used for these longer period RR Lyrae-like stars (short period population II Cepheids), but the classification scheme has not yet been settled (see e.g. Sandage et al. (1994); Nemec et al. (1994)). In this category, we consider the AHB1 stars (according to the nomenclature of Gautschy and Saio (1996)). AHB1 stars are 'above horizontal branch' stars which are either significantly brighter ($0.5-1$ mag) or have longer periods than the cluster RR Lyrae stars. Thus we also add V52 to this group because it is 0.5 mag brighter than other RRab stars with similar period (0.66 d). Based on its period we may also include V104 (P=0.87 d, $\beta=-5.4\times10^{-8}$ days/day) into this group, however its very large monotonic period decrease rate represents a disturbing extreme in any of the groups.

We also included V43 and V48 in our study, although no new observations were available. The period change rates we have determined for these stars agree within the errors to those of

Wehlau and Bohlender (1982), showing the compatibility of our method with other period changes studies. The addition of new (Kaluzny et al. 1997) data to the earlier measurements strengthens the dominance of the monotonic period increases for V60,V61 and V92. Our solutions for V60 and V92 are, however, somewhat different from the earlier results (Wehlau and Bohlender 1982) mainly because we also take direct period determinations into account and this yields even larger period increase rates.

Thus the period increases observed in these stars are in agreement with their evolved HB status. In the last phase of the HB, during evolution towards the asymptotic giant branch, large period increases are expected.

4. Conclusion: Comparison with evolutionary model predictions

We have shown in Sect. 3.1 that most of the RRab stars have increased their periods by some $10^{-11} - 10^{-9}$ days/day rate during the past century. This is in agreement with evolutionary model predictions for the late, redward phase of the horizontal branch evolution. The period changes along the post zero age horizontal branch, as derived from the Dorman (1992) models and from the linear pulsation period formulae of Kovács and Buchler (1994), range typically from -10^{-10} to $+10^{-9}$ days/day. Within the instability strip there is no metallicity and mass value for which the evolutionary period decrease rate is larger than some 10^{-11} days/day, but period increases of the order of 10^{-9} days/day do occur in the redward phase of the blue loops in the HB evolution, especially for the lower mass stars.

The physical parameters derived from the light-curves (Jurcsik 1998b, and Table 7) indicate that most of the RRab stars in ω Centauri belong to a very homogeneous population, and are already in the evolved (redward) phase of their HB evolution. Thus, their comparison with model predictions of unique chemical composition models give adequate results, in spite of the fact that large chemical inhomogeneities have been detected in ω Centauri stars.

In Fig. 7 horizontal branch evolutionary tracks are shown for oxygen enhanced models with [Fe/H]$= -1.48$ dex (Dorman 1992). Tracks for four different masses $(0.66, 0.64, 0.62, 0.60 M_{\odot})$ are shown. The position of the 40 RRab stars which were found to have [Fe/H]$= -1.54 \pm 0.08$ dex (Jurcsik 1998b) are also given. The luminosities and temperatures of these variables (given in Table 7) were determined by using the empirical relations between the physical parameters and the Fourier coefficients of the light curves (see Jurcsik (1998a) and references therein). The empirical $\log L$ and $\log T$ scales are shifted by 0.10 and 0.016, respectively, in order to reach agreement with evolutionary results (Jurcsik and Kovács 1999). This transformation yields a mean pulsational mass of $0.64 M_{\odot}$ with $0.02 M_{\odot}$ rms scatter for these 40 variables.

Linear pulsational periods have been calculated for different locations along the tracks where the fundamental mode period falls within the $0.4 - 1.0$ d range. Then, evolutionary period changes have been calculated using these periods and the evolutionary time scales of the Dorman (1992)

models. They are given in units of 10^{-10} days/day in Fig. 7. The distribution of the observed β values shown in Fig. 4 for those RRab stars which have well defined monotonic period change rates is in very good agreement with the evolutionary β values calculated within the region occupied by these stars.

Summarizing our results, we have found that, for those ω Centauri RRab stars that exhibit dominantly monotonic period changes, the period change rates can be brought into very good agreement with horizontal branch evolutionary model predictions. Thus the observed period changes of these stars may directly indicate evolutionary effects. However, irregular period changes and one very large period decrease rate (similar to those observed in other globular clusters) also occur in ω Centauri and no satisfactory explanation for these phenomena has yet been given. The much less regular period change behaviour of the first overtone RRc stars is also not yet understood.

We are grateful to the referee, Emilia Belserene, for useful comments and suggestions. We also thank E. Belserene for sending some of her unpublished magnitudes. C. Clement would like to thank Peter Hanson and Tom Wells for making the iris photometer measurements. Fruitful discussions with B. Szeidl are highly appreciated. We are also grateful for financial support for this work which was partially provided by the Hungarian OTKA grants T-024022 and T-030954 and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

Bailey, S. I. 1902, Harvard Annals, 38

Balázs-Detre, J., and Detre, L. 1965, in *The Position of Variable Stars in the Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram* Veroff. der Remeis-Sternwarte Bamberg, IV, No. 40, p.184.

Belserene, E. P. 1964, AJ, 69, 475

Clement, C. 2000, private communication, http://www.astro.utoronto.ca/ cclement/papers.html

Clement, C., and Rowe, J. 2000, AJ submitted

Dickens, R. J., and Saunders, J. 1965, Royal Observatory Bulletins, No 101

Dickens, R. J., and Carey, J. V. 1967, Royal Observatory Bulletins, No 129

Dorman, B. 1992, ApJS, 81, 221

Eggen, O.J. 1961, Royal Observatory Bulletins, No 29

Gautschy, A., and Saio, H. 1996, Annual Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 34, 551

Geyer, E. H., and Szeidl, B. 1970, A&A, 4, 40

Jurcsik, J. 1998a, A&A, 333, 571

Jurcsik, J. 1998b, ApJ, 506, L113

Jurcsik, J., and Kovács, G. 1996, A&A, 312, 111

Jurcsik, J., and Kovács, G. 1999, New Astronomy Reviews Vol. 43, 463

Kaluzny, J., Kubiak, M., Szymański, A., Udalski, W., Krzemiński, W., and Mateo, M. 1997, A&AS, 125, 343

Kolláth, Z. 1990 The program package MUFRAN, Occasional Technical Notes of the Konkoly Observatory, No.1, http://www.konkoly.hu/staff/kollath/mufran.html

Kovács, G., and Buchler, J. R. 1994, A&A, 281, 749

Kovács, G., and Jurcsik, J. 1996, ApJ, 466, L17

Kovács, G., and Jurcsik, J. 1997, A&A, 322, 218

Liller, M., and Tokarz, S. P. 1981, AJ, 86, 669

Lee, Y-W. 1991, ApJ, 367, 524

Lee, Jae-Woo, and Carney, B. W. 1999, AJ, 117, 2868

van Leeuwen, F., Le Poole, R., Reijns, R., Freeman, K.C., and de Zeeuw, P.T. 2000, A&A, in press

Martin, W. Chr. 1938, Leiden Annalen, 17

Nemec, J. M., Linnell Nemec, A. F., and Lutz, T.E. 1994, AJ, 108, 222

Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P. 1992, *Numerical Recepies*, Cambridge University Press

Rathbun, P.G., and Smith, H.A. 1997, PASP, 109, 1128

Sandage, A., Diethelm, R., and Tammann, G. A. 1994, A&A, 283, 111

Sawyer Hogg, H. 1973, David Dunlap Obs. 3, No6

Smith, H. A. 1995, *RR Lyrae Stars*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge astrophys. ser. Vol. 27

Sterne, Th. E. 1934, Harvard Obs. Circ. No. 386-387

Sturch, C. R. 1976, A&A, 46, 133

Sturch, C. R. 1978, PASP, 90, 264

Wehlau, A., and Bohlender, D. 1982, AJ, 87, 780

Wehlau, A., Nemec, J.M., Hanlan, P., and Rich, R.M. 1992, AJ, 103, 1583

Wehlau, A., Slawson, R.W., and Nemec, J.M. 1999, AJ, 117, 286

Wright, F. 1940, Bull. Harvard Coll. Obs. No.912, p.8.

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.0.

Fig. 1.— Results for RRab stars. $O-C$ (left panels), directly measured period values (center) and folded light-curves of all the photometric data (right), after the times of the observations were transformed according to the polynomial fit of the $O-C$ curve. 2 400 000 has been substructed from the JDs. Dashed lines in the $O-C$ plots indicate an uncertainty in cycle number counts or in the shape of the $O-C$ fit. The filled circles denote $O-C$ points derived from the original photometric data and the open circles denote $O-C$ values adopted, or estimated from folded light curves. The notation 'Bl' indicates evidence of light curve modulation. The period fits in the center panels correspond to the derivative of the $O-C$ fit and not to actual fits to the periods. The filled circles represent direct period determinations. The magnitude scale in the right panel is CCD V if the star was measured by Kaluzny et al. (1997) and photographic m_{pg} of Martin (1938) for all the other stars.

Fig. 2.— Results for RRc stars. See details in caption Fig. 1a.

Fig. 3.— Results for RR Lyrae like stars. See details in caption Fig. 1a.

Fig. 4.— Histogram of period change rates (β) of the 44 RRab stars that have unambiguous $O-C$ curves and monotonic period changes dominating over irregular period noise. The bulk of these RRab stars have continuously increased their periods by some 10^{-10} days/day rate during the century long time-base of the observations.

Fig. 5.— Normalized period change rates (α) *vs.* periods of RRab stars. Open circles denote Blazhko variables. V104 ($\alpha = -625 \times 10^{-10}$/day), the non-members (V56, V84), and stars that do not have long enough continuous coverage on their $O-C$ curves are not shown. Those variables which have some ambiguity in their $O-C$ fits are included because their dominant (random or monotonic) changes can be safely determined. Alpha values of those variables which exhibit random period changes without significant monotonic contribution are arbitrarily set to -70 just to show their distribution with period. All of these stars and the ones with decreasing periods belong to the shorter period group of the RRab sample, similar to the Blazhko type variables.

Fig. 6.— The same plot as Fig. 5 for the RRc stars. The anomalously long period stars (V47,68,123) and V151,V163 and V168 are not shown. Stars with random period changes are arbitrarily set to $\alpha = -150$.

Fig. 7.— Horizontal branch evolutionary tracks of the oxygen enhanced, [Fe/H]$= -1.48$, $M = 0.60, 0.62, 0.64, 0.66 M_{\odot}$ models within the region of the instability strip (Dorman 1992). Evolutionary period changes along the tracks (β in 10^{-10} days/day unit) are given. Filled circles denote the 40 variables with [Fe/H]$= -1.54 \pm 0.08$ dex and $M = 0.64 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$ pulsational mass values. Long term period changes for 39 of these stars have been determined: period increase with $\beta = 6.6$ mean rate for 24 stars, decreasing periods for 4 stars, and random period changes for 10 stars. One star does not show any period change. The largest period increase rates ($\beta > 20.0$) are observed in the two most luminous stars. The mean period increase rates for the stars with positive β values are in very good agreement with the evolutionary results.

Table 1. Yale-Columbia observations of ω Centauri variables. The complete version of this table is in the electronic edition of the Journal. The printed edition contains only a sample.

J.D.−2 400 000	V3	V7	V8	V10	V12	V13	V14	V15	V16	V18	V19
32234.585	14.32	14.42	14.00	14.86	14.70	14.53	14.50	14.15	15.05	15.36	15.10
32266.500	14.18	14.52	14.68	14.98	14.58	14.56	14.99	14.72	14.64	14.48	14.72
32278.462	14.57	15.03		14.92	14.49	15.22	14.59	14.36	14.98	15.02	14.77
32295.414	14.88		15.41	14.93	14.48	14.38	14.48	14.22	14.54	15.29	15.24
32296.410	15.01	15.10	15.42	14.82	14.96	15.09		14.67		14.83	15.06

Table 2. La Campanas observations of ω Centauri variables. The complete version of this table is in the electronic edition of the Journal. The printed edition contains only a sample.

J.D.−2 400 000	V3	V4	V5	V8	V9	V10	V13	V16	V18	V19	V20	V22	V23	V24
41446.739	15.08	15.29	15.32	15.32	14.35	14.96	14.14	14.99	14.79	15.32	14.85	15.01	15.32	14.99
41447.555	15.26	14.34	14.54	14.16	15.34	14.97	14.80	14.41	15.29	14.76	15.17	15.14	14.30	14.66
41447.655	14.38	14.92	15.13	15.00	15.38	14.51	15.07	14.61	15.25	15.28	15.28	14.69	15.02	15.10
41448.507	14.26	15.22	14.26	15.33	15.29	14.46	15.11	14.60	14.30	15.15	14.34	14.41	15.04	14.67
41449.475	14.25	14.48	15.41	15.44	15.10	14.80	14.39	14.96	15.26	15.41	15.20	15.05	15.35	14.92

Table 3. ADH Schmidt observations of ω Centauri variables. The complete version of this table is in the electronic edition of the Journal. The printed edition contains only a sample.

J.D.−2 400 000	V4	V10	V14	V18	V19	V22	V24	V36	V56
37792.289	14.85	14.60	14.81	15.26	14.74			14.99	15.27
37792.315	14.75	14.35	14.74	15.05	14.84	14.57	14.25	14.89	15.35
37792.358	14.82	14.25	14.96		14.45	14.31	14.32	14.99	15.01
37793.341	13.99	14.74	14.50	15.11	14.73	14.93	14.57	14.59	15.35
37793.368	14.17	14.45	14.52	15.16	15.00	14.88	14.77	14.62	15.49

Table 4. Photometric data of RR Lyrae and evolved variables in ω Centauri.

Var.[a]		References[b]									
SH	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	type
3	184	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	X	ab
4	99	X	X	X	X	–	–	X	X	X	ab
5	101	X	X	X	0	–	–	X	X	–	ab
7	87	X	X	X	0	X0	X	–	X	–	ab
8	199	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
9	183	X	X	X	–	–	–	X	X	X	ab
10	98	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	X	c
11	126	X	X	–	C	–	–	–	X	X	ab
12	125	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	c
13	188	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	X	ab
14		X	X	X	X	X	–	–	–	–	c
15	124	X	X	–	0	X0	–	–	X	X	ab
16	205	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	–	c
18	200	X	X	X	X	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
19	192	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	–	c
20	163	X	X	X	–	X	–	X	X	X	ab
21	97	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	c
22	190	X	X	X	X	–	–	X	X	X	c
23	146	X	X	–	–	X0	–	X	X	X	ab
24	189	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	–	c
25	113	X	X	–	–	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
26	120	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	–	ab
27	114	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	X	ab
30	92	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
32	82	X	X	–	–	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
33	182	X	X	X	0	X0	–	–	X	–	ab
34	90	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	X	ab
35	78	X	X	X	–	X	–	X	X	X	c
36	86	X	X	X	X	–	–	–	X	–	c
38	170	X	X	X	0	X0	–	–	X	–	ab
39	197	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	X	c
40	107	X	X	–	–	X0	–	X	X	X	ab
41	129	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	X	ab
43		X	X	X	–	–	–	X	–	–	evolved
44	88	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	X	ab
45	179	X	X	X	0	–	X	X	X	–	ab
46	180	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	–	ab
47	185	X	X	–	C	–	–	–	X	X	c
48		X	X	X	–	–	–	X	–	–	evolved
49	165	X	X	X	–	X	–	X	X	–	ab
50	187	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	–	c
51	147	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	X	ab
52	116	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	evolved
54	74	X	X	–	0	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
55		X	X	–	0	X0	X	–	–	–	ab
56		X	X	X	X	X	–	X	–	–	ab
57	206	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
58	100	X	X	–	X	X	–	–	X	X	c
59	93	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	ab
60	103	X	X	X	–	–	–	X	X	–	evolved
61	164	X	X	X	–	–	–	X	X	–	evolved

Table 4—Continued

Var.[a]		References[b]									
SH	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	type
62	117	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	ab
63		X	X	X	0	X0	Y	–	–	–	ab
64		X	X	X	0	X	–	X	–	–	c
66	76	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
67	77	X	X	–	X	–	–	X	X	–	ab
68	73	X	X	–	X	X	–	–	X	–	c
69		X	X	–	0	–	X	–	–	–	ab
70	151	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	X	c
71	157	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
72		X	X	X	0	X	–	–	–	–	c
73		X	X	–	0	–	X	–	–	–	ab
74	85	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	–	ab
75	84	X	X	–	0	X	–	–	X	–	c
76	79	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	–	c
77	80	X	X	–	C	–	–	–	X	X	c
79	204	X	X	X	0	X	–	–	X	–	ab
81	195	X	X	X	0	X	–	X	X	–	c
82	193	X	X	X	–	X	–	X	X	–	c
83	83	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	–	c
84	174	X	X	–	0	X	X	–	X	–	ab
85	176	X	X	–	0	X	Y	–	X	–	ab
86	158	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	X	ab
87	144	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
88	210	X	X	–	–	X0	–	–	X	–	ab
89	143	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
90	141	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
91		X	X	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	ab
92	72	X	X	X	0	–	X	X	X	–	evolved
94		X	X	–	0	X	–	–	–	–	c
95	178	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	–	c
96		X	X	–	–	–	–	X	–	–	ab
97	159	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	ab
98	160	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	c
99	137	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	ab
100		X	X	–	–	X	–	–	–	–	ab
101	194	X	X	X	–	X	–	–	X	–	c
102	153	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	ab
103		X	X	–	–	X	–	–	–	–	c
104	201	X	X	X	0	X0	–	X	X	X	ab
105	198	X	X	X	X	X	–	X	X	–	c
106		X	X	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	ab
107	154	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	ab
108	211	X	X	–	–	X0	–	–	X	–	ab
109	133	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	–	ab
111	136	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	ab
112	150	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
113	128	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	–	ab
115	89	X	X	X	–	–	–	X	X	X	ab
116	127	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
117	94	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	c
118	109	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab

Table 4—Continued

Var.[a]		References[b]									
SH	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	type
119	106	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
120	104	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
121	105	X	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	–	c
122	102	X	X	–	–	X	–	X	X	–	ab
123	169	X	X	–	0	X	–	–	X	X	c
124	168	X	X	–	X	X	–	–	X	–	c
125	166	X	X	X	0	X	–	–	X	–	ab
126	207	X	X	–	X	X	–	–	X	–	c
127	175	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	–	c
128	91	X	X	–	–	X0	–	–	X	–	ab
130	75	X	X	–	C	–	–	–	X	–	ab
131	110	X	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	X	c
132		X	X	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	ab
134		–	X	–	0	X	–	–	–	–	ab
139	118	–	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
144	112	–	X	–	–	–	–	–	X	–	ab
149		–	X	–	0	X	X	–	–	–	ab
150		–	X	–	–	X	–	–	–	–	ab
151		–	X	–	–	X	–	–	–	–	c
155	145	–	X	–	–	X	–	–	X	X	c
160		–	X	–	0	X	X	–	–	–	c
163		–	X	–	0	X	–	–	–	–	c
168	181	–	–	–	–	X	–	–	X	–	c

[a] SH refers to Sawyer Hogg (1973) and Clement (2000) catalogue numbers, K to the Kaluzny et al. (1997) IDs.

[b] X: photometry; Y: photometry too few to define $O-C$ value; 0: folded light curve or $O-C$ data available; C: only folded light curve available without parameters allowing to determine $O-C$.

References. — (1) Bailey (1902); (2) Martin (1938); (3) Dickens and Saunders (1965) or Dickens and Carey (1967) for evolved stars; (4) Geyer and Szeidl (1970) data published in this paper and read from their folded light-curves; (5) Belserene (1964) data published in this paper and Belserene (1964) $O-C$ values for JD 2423530, 2437454 and 2437727; (6) Sturch (1976, 1978); Eggen (1961) for V92; (7) C. Clement observations published in this paper or Wehlau and Bohlender (1982) for evolved stars; (8)-(9) observations of different OGLE fields Kaluzny et al. (1997).

Table 5. $O-C$ and period data of ω Centauri variables. The complete version of this table is in the electronic edition of the Journal. The printed edition contains only a sample.

Var.	$P_a(d)$[a]	order of the polynomial fit to the $O-C$					
mean JD[b]		$O-C$ (d)	first JD[b,c]	last JD[b,c]	N[c,d]	Δm[c,e]	Ref.[f]
mean JD[b]		measured period		period range			
V003	0.84122900	6					
13153.06		-0.036	12234.7	13411.6	43	0.88	1
14174.72		-0.064	13652.6	14518.5	61	0.88	1
23530.00		-0.383	0.0	0.0	0	0.00	5
26451.87		-0.449	26406.5	26836.4	263	-0.24	2
27907.03		-0.453	27891.4	27988.0	135	-0.24	2
32463.33		-0.486	32234.6	32736.2	24	-0.28	5
35670.10		-0.503	35607.0	35682.0	27	-0.28	5
36269.99		-0.539	36259.2	36292.2	13	-0.28	5
37298.90		-0.498	36754.2	37491.2	36	-0.36	3
37454.00		-0.481	0.0	0.0	0	0.00	5
37811.00		-0.485	0.0	0.0	0	0.00	4
38084.97		-0.457	38062.1	38118.1	12	-0.28	5
38885.84		-0.466	38876.1	38909.0	11	-0.28	5
39629.88		-0.453	39563.1	39684.9	28	-0.28	5
41669.38		-0.385	41446.7	41874.5	43	-0.34	7
42359.68		-0.355	42074.8	42594.5	53	-0.34	7
43520.57		-0.303	43273.5	43699.7	73	-0.34	7
44194.27		-0.272	44016.6	44378.8	53	-0.34	7
44993.61		-0.237	44750.6	45470.5	79	-0.34	7
46244.63		-0.175	45786.8	46562.7	54	-0.34	7
49524.49		-0.016	49515.5	49525.7	28	-0.02	8
49831.88		0.000	49818.5	49922.5	201	0.00	9
13752		0.84120425	0.84118370	0.84122730			
26945		0.84122507	0.84121650	0.84123400			
36544		0.84122675	0.84121620	0.84124020			
39105		0.84125025	0.84123810	0.84126290			
42685		0.84126670	0.84125840	0.84127480			
45129		0.84126682	0.84125890	0.84127450			
49794		0.84127000	0.84125740	0.84128260			

[a] The $O-C$ values are calculated according to this period.

[b] JD$-2\,400\,000$

[c] 0.0 values are for references when the original photometric data are not available.

[d] Number of data points used.

[e] Magnitude-shift applied to vertically match data to the normal curve.

[f] Reference numbers are the same as in Table 4.

Table 6. Period changes parameters of ω Centauri variables.

Var.[a]	$P_a(d)$ mean period	Bl[b]	$O-C$[c]	[Fe/H][d]	$\beta =< \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} >$ $d/10^{10}d$	d/Myr	$\alpha = \beta/P_a$ $1/10^{10}d$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/\lvert\beta\rvert$
RRab stars									
3	0.8412290	1	1	1	21.750	0.794	25.855	1.975	0.09
4	0.6273165	1	1	1	3.733	0.136	5.951	0.649	0.17
5	0.5152828	0	1		1.617	0.059	3.137	0.832	0.51
7	0.7130227	1	1	1	6.833	0.249	9.584	0.901	0.13
8	0.5212930	1	1	1	16.950	0.619	32.515	0.696	0.04
9	0.5233400	0	0		32.314	1.179	61.745	20.363	0.63
11	0.5648060	0	0		5.042	0.184	8.926	0.008	0.00
13	0.6690535	1	1	1	2.528	0.092	3.778	2.725	1.08
15	0.8106220	1	1	1	20.406	0.745	25.173	0.004	0.00
18	0.6216686	1	1	1	1.217	0.044	1.957	1.585	1.30
20	0.6155540	1	1	1	2.222	0.081	3.610	2.726	1.23
23	0.5108675	1	1	0	2.122	0.077	4.154	1.822	0.86
25	0.5884890	1	1	1	8.842	0.323	15.024	14.117	1.60
26	0.7847145	1	1	1	4.531	0.165	5.774	0.008	0.00
27	0.6156805	1	1	0	4.553	0.166	7.395	0.008	0.00
32	0.6204257	1	1	1	-30.317	-1.107	-48.864	0.006	0.00
33	0.6023230	1	1	1	6.547	0.239	10.870	2.714	0.41
34	0.7339435	1	1	1	4.611	0.168	6.283	0.005	0.00
38	0.7790480	1	1	1	3.636	0.133	4.667	0.307	0.08
40	0.6340938	1	1	1	2.128	0.078	3.356	0.007	0.00
41	0.6629500	1	1	1	-3.317	-0.121	-5.003	1.517	0.46
44	0.5675425	1	1	0	-1.144	-0.042	-2.016	0.373	0.33
45	0.5891330	0	1	1	-1.325	-0.048	-2.249	2.560	1.93
46	0.6869440	1	1	1	3.922	0.143	5.710	1.278	0.33
49	0.6046400	1	1	1	-3.881	-0.142	-6.418	6.582	1.70
51	0.5741345	1	1	1	5.128	0.187	8.931	0.616	0.12
54	0.7729000	1	1	1	5.064	0.185	6.552	0.008	0.00
55	0.5816930	1	1		-13.428	-0.490	-23.085	0.008	0.00
*56	0.5680000	0	0		23.585	0.861	41.523	15.562	0.66
57	0.7944180	1	1	1	4.800	0.175	6.042	0.000	0.00
59	0.5185200	0	0		24.242	0.885	46.752	5.406	0.22
62	0.6197940	1	1	1	4.833	0.176	7.798	1.194	0.25
63	0.8259450	1	1		6.706	0.245	8.119	0.004	0.00
67	0.5644508	0	1		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
69	0.6532205	0	1		4.463	0.163	6.832	0.070	0.02
73	0.5752130	0	1		-5.269	-0.192	-9.160	2.941	0.56
74	0.5032475	1	1	1	-10.883	-0.397	-21.626	3.649	0.34
79	0.6082747	1	1	1	2.992	0.109	4.918	0.426	0.14
*84	0.5798730	1	1	0	-1.375	-0.050	-2.371	0.007	0.01
85	0.7427580	1	1	1	2.461	0.090	3.313	0.008	0.00
86	0.6478330	1	1	1	3.239	0.118	5.000	3.635	1.12
88	0.6901980	0	1		10.756	0.393	15.583	2.290	0.21
90	0.6034000	1	1	1	0.683	0.025	1.132	0.006	0.01
91	0.8951200	1	-		47.375	1.729	52.926	0.011	0.00
96	0.6245312	1	1		0.636	0.023	1.019	0.008	0.01
97	0.6918880	1	1	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
99	0.7661020	1	1	2	45.869	1.674	59.874	2.248	0.05
100	0.5527119	1	1		20.543	0.750	37.167	6.698	0.33
102	0.6913905	1	1	1	4.611	0.168	6.669	0.704	0.15
104	0.8676000	1	1	0	-542.347	-19.796	-625.112	1.460	0.00

Table 6—Continued

Var.[a]	$P_a(d)$ mean period	Bl[b]	$O-C$[c]	[Fe/H][d]	$\beta =< \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} >$ $d/10^{10}d$	d/Myr	$\alpha = \beta/P_a$ $1/10^{10}d$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/\lvert\beta\rvert$
106	0.5699070	1	-		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
107	0.5141010	1	1	0	1.811	0.066	3.523	0.005	0.00
108	0.5944533	1	1	1	3.061	0.112	5.149	0.708	0.23
109	0.7440700	1	1	1	13.528	0.494	18.181	0.007	0.00
111	0.7629000	1	1	1	2.306	0.084	3.022	0.004	0.00
112	0.4743565	0	1	0	0.389	0.014	0.820	0.005	0.01
113	0.5733640	1	1	1	5.794	0.211	10.106	1.624	0.28
115	0.6304640	0	1	1	-3.856	-0.141	-6.115	2.456	0.64
116	0.7201320	1	1		-1.989	-0.073	-2.762	0.005	0.00
118	0.6116235	1	1	1	-3.028	-0.111	-4.950	0.007	0.00
120	0.5485700	0	1		-11.406	-0.416	-20.791	1.067	0.09
122	0.6349230	1	1	1	1.944	0.071	3.062	1.466	0.75
125	0.5928870	1	1	1	-0.353	-0.013	-0.595	1.459	4.14
128	0.8349825	1	1	1	8.825	0.322	10.569	0.838	0.09
130	0.4932500	0	1		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
132	0.6556390	1	-		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
134	0.6529060	1	-		-4.762	-0.174	-7.294	5.980	1.26
139	0.6768700	1	-	1	6.662	0.243	9.843	0.010	0.00
144	0.8353110	1	-		2.729	0.100	3.267	0.009	0.00
149	0.6827280	1	-		-5.069	-0.185	-7.425	0.008	0.00
150	0.8992050	1	-		67.825	2.476	75.428	18.136	0.27
RRc stars									
010	0.3749500	0	0		14.676	0.536	39.140	56.964	3.88
012	0.3867470	1	1		4.436	0.162	11.470	1.959	0.44
014	0.3771650	1	1		18.650	0.681	49.448	15.467	0.83
016	0.3301820	1	1		7.803	0.285	23.632	1.069	0.14
019	0.2995527	1	1		-0.289	-0.011	-0.964	0.103	0.36
021	0.3808160	1	1		-4.892	-0.179	-12.845	7.146	1.46
022	0.3960800	1	0		-21.406	-0.781	-54.044	15.699	0.73
024	0.4622140	1	1		11.592	0.423	25.079	3.181	0.27
030	0.4041000	0	0		-21.397	-0.781	-52.950	28.643	1.34
035	0.3868382	1	1		-2.800	-0.102	-7.238	2.454	0.88
036	0.3798530	1	0		-2.786	-0.102	-7.335	6.196	2.22
039	0.3933700	1	1		0.925	0.034	2.351	3.223	3.48
047	0.4850500	1	0		29.633	1.082	61.093	62.330	2.10
050	0.3861840	1	0		-8.892	-0.325	-23.024	1.847	0.21
058	0.3699170	0	1		6.689	0.244	18.082	1.876	0.28
064	0.3444720	1	0		13.175	0.481	38.247	21.644	1.64
066	0.4075400	0	0		49.064	1.791	120.390	5.776	0.12
068	0.5345500	1	0		52.550	1.918	98.307	100.053	1.90
070	0.3906000	0	0		2.731	0.100	6.991	21.733	7.96
071	0.3574650	1	0		4.792	0.175	13.405	4.314	0.90
072	0.3845250	1	1		-6.979	-0.255	-18.149	9.579	1.37
075	0.4223000	1	0		-40.594	-1.482	-96.127	67.522	1.66
076	0.3378900	1	0		37.025	1.351	109.577	14.624	0.39
077	0.4260050	0	0		12.778	0.466	29.994	0.007	0.00
081	0.3893990	1	1		-8.722	-0.318	-22.399	4.415	0.51
082	0.3358400	1	0		-45.956	-1.677	-136.838	10.129	0.22
083	0.3566080	1	1		1.106	0.040	3.100	0.004	0.00
087	0.3965100	1	1		15.742	0.575	39.701	1.416	0.09
089	0.3748533	1	0		34.606	1.263	92.318	6.808	0.20

Table 6—Continued

Var.[a]	$P_a(d)$ mean period	Bl[b]	$O-C$[c] [Fe/H][d]	$\beta =< \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} >$ $d/10^{10}d$	d/Myr	$\alpha = \beta/P_a$ $1/10^{10}d$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}$	$\sigma_{\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t}}/\lvert\beta\rvert$
094	0.2539330	1	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
095	0.4049850	1	0	3.716	0.136	9.176	23.817	6.41
098	0.2805655	1	1	0.153	0.006	0.545	0.008	0.05
101	0.3409200	1	1	51.033	1.863	149.693	14.825	0.29
103	0.3288490	1	1	0.632	0.023	1.922	0.009	0.01
105	0.3353340	1	1	0.692	0.025	2.063	0.435	0.63
117	0.4216600	0	0	0.483	0.018	1.146	6.754	13.97
119	0.3058765	1	1	-1.639	-0.060	-5.358	0.008	0.00
121	0.3041800	1	0	1.981	0.072	6.511	2.175	1.10
123	0.4739000	0	0	149.964	5.474	316.446	104.375	0.70
124	0.3318600	1	1	-1.739	-0.063	-5.240	0.332	0.19
126	0.3419100	1	0	15.950	0.582	46.650	8.616	0.54
127	0.3052760	1	1	-2.239	-0.082	-7.334	0.883	0.39
131	0.3921200	1	0	-18.122	-0.661	-46.216	4.627	0.26
151	0.4078000	1	-	145.770	5.321	357.455	77.384	0.53
155	0.4139230	1	1	7.162	0.261	17.304	0.010	0.00
160	0.3973400	1	0	-278.918	-10.180	-701.962	267.563	0.96
163	0.3132300	1	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
*168	0.3212950	1	-	-3.547	-0.129	-11.041	0.011	0.00
RR Lyrae like stars								
43	1.1568240	1	1	15.383	0.561	13.297	3.775	0.25
48	4.4741000	1	1	422.683	15.428	94.473	0.000	0.00
52	0.6603750	1	0	3.978	0.145	6.024	1.071	0.27
60	1.3494300	1	1	132.858	4.849	98.455	24.940	0.19
61	2.2735550	1	1	30.947	1.130	13.612	4.204	0.14
92	1.3452000	1	0	381.947	13.941	283.933	14.064	0.04

[a] *: not radial velocity members (Liller and Tokarz 1981; van Leeuwen et al. 2000).

[b] 0: light-curve showing any type of modulation.

[c] 0: uncertain $O-C$ fit; –: not enough data to draw firm conclusion about long term period changes.

[d] 1: [Fe/H]= -1.54 ± 0.08 dex; 0: less metal poor; 2: more metal poor stars (see Table 7).

Table 7. Physical parameters of RRab stars determined from their light-curves.

Var.	β $(d/10^{10}d)$	[Fe/H]	LogT_{eff}	Log$L/L_{\odot}$	Log$M/M_{\odot}$
stars with [Fe/H]$= 1.54 \pm 0.08$ dex					
3	21.75	-1.41	3.8040	1.822	0.62
4	3.73	-1.60	3.8192	1.751	0.64
7	6.83	-1.64	3.8104	1.783	0.65
8	16.95	-1.51	3.8304	1.713	0.67
13	irr.	-1.59	3.8151	1.760	0.63
15	20.41	-1.54	3.8030	1.811	0.64
18	irr.	-1.57	3.8208	1.750	0.64
20	irr.	-1.52	3.8212	1.744	0.64
25	irr.	-1.63	3.8227	1.739	0.66
26	4.53	-1.53	3.8037	1.792	0.63
32	-30.32	-1.56	3.8211	1.751	0.64
33	6.55	-1.55	3.8226	1.741	0.64
34	4.61	-1.62	3.8077	1.784	0.64
38	3.64	-1.37	3.8033	1.781	0.62
40	2.13	-1.60	3.8187	1.755	0.65
41	-3.32	-1.51	3.8163	1.756	0.62
45	irr.	-1.55	3.8246	1.738	0.64
46	3.92	-1.53	3.8133	1.766	0.63
49	irr.	-1.63	3.8195	1.737	0.65
51	5.13	-1.52	3.8257	1.731	0.65
54	5.06	-1.38	3.8064	1.781	0.60
57	4.8	-1.46	3.8030	1.790	0.62
62	4.83	-1.57	3.8210	1.749	0.64
74	-10.88	-1.45	3.8320	1.704	0.68
79	2.99	-1.41	3.8230	1.734	0.62
85	2.46	-1.58	3.8073	1.784	0.63
86	irr.	-1.57	3.8170	1.755	0.64
90	0.68	-1.45	3.8247	1.737	0.62
97	0.00	-1.65	3.8112	1.775	0.66
102	4.61	-1.63	3.8119	1.773	0.65
108	3.06	-1.58	3.8227	1.737	0.65
109	13.53	-1.54	3.8103	1.795	0.63
111	2.31	-1.33	3.8081	1.772	0.59
113	5.79	-1.51	3.8263	1.732	0.65
115	irr.	-1.67	3.8191	1.760	0.66
118	-3.03	-1.64	3.8195	1.741	0.65
122	irr.	-1.60	3.8184	1.754	0.65
125	irr.	-1.49	3.8248	1.736	0.63
128	8.83	-1.46	3.7998	1.811	0.63
139	–	-1.58	3.8135	1.756	0.63
less metal poor stars					
23	irr.	-1.07	3.8326	1.666	0.60
27	4.55	-0.80	3.8214	1.677	0.54
44	-1.14	-1.16	3.8261	1.695	0.60
84	-1.38	-1.01	3.8227	1.677	0.57
104	-542.35	-0.74	3.7996	1.773	0.55
107	1.81	-1.13	3.8338	1.682	0.61
112	0.39	-1.19	3.8347	1.663	0.65
more metal poor star					
99	45.87	-1.99	3.8070	1.840	0.71

Note. — The physical parameters are calculated using the formulae given in Jurcsik (1998a), the Log$L$ and Log$T$ scales are shifted by 0.1 and 0.016, respectively, in order to reach agreement with the Dorman (1992) evolutionary models (Jurcsik and Kovács 1999).

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1d.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1e.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1f.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1g.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1h.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1i.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1j.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1k.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig1l.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2d.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2e.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2f.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2g.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig2h.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1

This figure "Jurcsik.fig7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0010005v1